WESTERN DISTR	ES DISTRICT COURT ICT OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE
Fred Bradbury, Plaintiff,	No. 2:14-cv-00640
v. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc.,	Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial
Defendants.	
	ury (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and
through his attorneys, Fredrick Schulman & A	Associates, Attorneys at Law, and brings this action
to recover monetary damages, and declaratory	and injunctive relief, against Defendant
Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. (hereinafter refe	erred to as "Defendant"), arising from Defendant's
violations of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq., common	nly referred to as the Fair Debt Collection Practices
Act (hereinafter referred to as "FDCPA"), whi	ich prohibits debt collectors from engaging in false
deceptive, misleading, or unfair collection pra	ctices, and respectfully sets forth, complains and
alleges, upon information and belief, the follo	wing:
PDFI IMINA	DV STATEMENT
<u>I RELIVITA</u>	RI STATEMENT
COMPLIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY	1 FREDRICK SCHULMAN &
	ASSOCIATES Attorneys at Law
	Attorney for Plaintiff 30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053
	Fred Bradbury, V. Convergent Outsourcing, Inc., Defendants.  Now comes Plaintiff Fred Bradb through his attorneys, Fredrick Schulman & A to recover monetary damages, and declaratory Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. (hereinafter refe violations of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq., common Act (hereinafter referred to as "FDCPA"), who deceptive, misleading, or unfair collection pra alleges, upon information and belief, the folloopers.

The FDCPA regulates the behavior of collection agencies attempting to collect a debt on 1 2 behalf of another. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of 3 abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by debt collectors, and has determined 4 that abusive debt collection practices contribute to a number of personal bankruptcies, marital 5 instability, loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. Congress enacted the FDCPA to 6 eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to ensure that those debt collectors 7 who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, 8 and to promote uniform State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 9 10 U.S.C. §1692(a)-(e). 11 The elements of an FDCPA claim are (1) the Plaintiff(s) is a consumer under 15 U.S.C. 12 §1692a(3) or §1692c(d); (2) the debt at issue is a consumer debt under 15 U.S.C.§1692a(5); (3) 13 the Defendant is a debt collector under 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6); and (4) that the Defendant has 14 violated, through acts or omissions, some part of the FDCPA. 15 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 16 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, as well as 15 U.S.C. 17 18 §1692 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. §2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over 19 any State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a). 20 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b). 21 22 **PARTIES** 23 Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of Tenessee, Anderson County. COMPLIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY FREDRICK **SCHULMAN** 24 **TRIAL** ASSOCIATES Attorneys at Law 25 Attorney for Plaintiff 26 30 East 29<sup>TH</sup> Street New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053

1	4. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C.
2	§1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
3	5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. ("Convergent")
5	is a Washington corporation conducting business from offices located at 800 SW 39th Street
6	Renton, WA 98057.
7	6. Defendant regularly uses the mail and telephone in a business, the principal purpose of
8	which is the collection of debts.
9   10	7. Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect debts for other parties, and therefore, is
11	a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
12	<u>FACTS</u>
13	8. In or around January 2014, Defendant commenced collection activities in an attempt to
14	collect an alleged debt (referred to hereinafter as the "Alleged Debt") from Plaintiff originating
15 16	from a personal cellular telephone bill.
17	9. Upon information and belief, the nature of the Alleged Debt is the type of debt the
18	FDCPA was designed to regulate.
19	10. On or about January 31, 2014, Plaintiff received a letter in the mail from Defendant at his
20	place of employment.
21   22	
23	
24	COMPLIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY 3 FREDRICK SCHULMAN & ASSOCIATES
25	Attorneys at Law Attorney for Plaintiff
26	30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053

1	11.	Said letter was sent in an attempt to collect the Alleged Debt and stated that Plaintiff's	
2	total b	palance was \$405.88. (See Collection Letter, attached hereto and incorporated herein by	
3	refere	nce as "Exhibit A").	
4	12.	Said letter further offered a settlement in the amount of \$101.47 to satisfy the Alleged	
5	Debt i	n full.	
6	13.	Lastly, said letter advised Plaintiff that paying the Alleged Debt on the internet at	
7 8	www.	payconvergent.com would be a "convenient" way to pay.	
9	14.	Later on or about January 31, 2014, Plaintiff logged into his account on	
10	www.	payconvergent.com to research the details of and pay the Alleged Debt.	
11	15.	Plaintiff's account <u>www.payconvergent.com</u> stated that Plaintiff owed a balance of	
12	\$1,170	0.46. (See Screenshot, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as "Exhibit	
13	B").		
14   15	16.	As a result of Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of	
16	statuto	ory damages and all costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the relevant provision	ns
17	of the	FDCPA.	
18		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
19		(Violations of 15 U.S.C §1692e)	
20	17.	Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs	
21	numbe	ered "1" through "16" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth a	at
22	length	herein.	
23	COMP	LIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY 4 FREDRICK SCHULMAN	٥
24	TRIAL	ASSOCIATES	&
25		Attorneys at Law Attorney for Plaintiff	
26		30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053	

1	18. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e, in that Defendant made false, deceptive,
2	and/or misleading representations to Plaintiff regarding the Alleged Debt.
3	19. As a result of the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and
4	is therefore entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.
5	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
6	(Violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692b(2))
7	20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
8	paragraphs numbered"1" through "21" above with the same force and effect, as if the same were
9	set forth at length herein.
10	set fortif de length herein.
11	21. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692b(2) by mailing a letter to Plaintiff at his
12	place of employment. A debt collector may not send a written message that is easily accessible to
13	
14	third parties. Courts have held that sending a letter to a consumer at his place of employment is a
15	per se violation of the FDCPA. Evon v. Law Offices of Sidney Mickell, 688 F.3d 1015, 1025-26
16	(9th Cir. 2012).
17	
18	22. As a result of the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and
19	is therefore entitled to damages in accordance with the FDCPA.
20	
21	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY
22	23. Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury for all claims and issues in this complaint.
23	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
24	COMPLIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY 5 FREDRICK SCHULMAN & ASSOCIATES
25	Attorneys at Law
26	Attorney for Plaintiff 30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street
ı	New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Fred Bradbury demands judgment against the Defendant
2	Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. as follows:
3	A. For statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Sec.1692k(2)(A):
4	B. For attorneys' fees and costs provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1692k(a)(3);
5	C.For a declaration that the Defendant is subject to the requirements of the FDCPA and its
6	practices, as alleged herein, violated the FDCPA; and,
7 8	D. For any such other and further relief, as well as further costs, expenses and disbursements
9	of this action, as this Court may deem just and proper.
10 11	Dated: New York, New York April 30, 2014
12	Respectfully submitted,
13	
14	
15	By: <u>/s Joshua Dabling #44792</u>
16	Attorney for Plaintiff Fredrick Schulman & Associates
17	30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street
18	New York, New York 10016 Telephone (212)796-6053
19	Fax (212) 951-7379 Email: info@fschulmanlaw.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	COMPLIANT AND DEMAND FOR JURY 6 FREDRICK SCHULMAN & ASSOCIATES
25	Attorneys at Law Attorney for Plaintiff
26	30 East 29 <sup>TH</sup> Street New York, New York 10016 (212) 796-6053